### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No.  PCT / IB 01/01198  Applicant  WIJAYA, Heru, Prasanta  This international Search Report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Ancie 16. A copy is being transmitted to the international Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant's or agent's file reference		f Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
Applicant  WIJAYA, Heru, Prasanta  This international Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18.4 copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau.  This international Search Report consists of a total of	PCT-11/01 International application No		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This International Search Report consists of a total of			(2,
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This International Search Report consists of a total of			
This International Search Report consists of a total of sheets.    X	WIJAYA, Heru, Prasanta		
This International Search Report consists of a total of sheets.    X			
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was lifed, unless otherwise indicated under this tiens    the international search was carried out on the basis of a triens   the international application furnished to this Authority (Fulle 23.1(b)).   b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:   contained in the international application in written form.     filed together with the international application in computer readable form.     furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.     furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.     turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.     the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.     the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.     Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).     With regard to the title,     With regard to the title,     With regard to the title,     With regard to the abstract,     the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.     the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.     the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.     the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.     as suggested by the applicant.     Source of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.     as suggested by the applicant.     None of the fi			nority and is transmitted to the applicant
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was lifed, unless otherwise indicated under this tiens    the international search was carried out on the basis of a triens   the international application furnished to this Authority (Fulle 23.1(b)).   b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:   contained in the international application in written form.     filed together with the international application in computer readable form.     furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.     furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.     turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.     the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.     the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.     Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).     With regard to the title,     With regard to the title,     With regard to the title,     With regard to the abstract,     the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.     the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.     the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.     the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.     as suggested by the applicant.     Source of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.     as suggested by the applicant.     None of the fi	This International Search Report consists	of a total of A sheets	
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the tanguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.    the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).    b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:   contained in the international application in written form.   filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   the statement that the subsequently turnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).   Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the title,	l		report.
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the tanguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.    the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).    b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:   contained in the international application in written form.   filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   the statement that the subsequently turnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).   Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the title,			
tanguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	<u>'</u>	•	
Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filled together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the titte,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			sis of the international application in the
was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		vas carried out on the basis of a translation of the	he international application furnished to this
contained in the international application in written form.    filled together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form.   the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filled has been furnished.   the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the title,   X			iternational application, the international search
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.    furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished   Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).   Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the titte,   X			
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.    furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form.   the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished  2.		• •	n.
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  Solutions.  With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.		•	
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.		•	
the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished  2.	the statement that the sul	bsequently furnished written sequence listing d	loes not go beyond the disclosure in the
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).  4. With regard to the title,    X   the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.   the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,   the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.   X   the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   as suggested by the applicant.   None of the figures.	the statement that the infe		s identical to the written sequence listing has been
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).  4. With regard to the title,    X   the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.   the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,   the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.   X   the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   as suggested by the applicant.   None of the figures.	2 Contain alaima wara faw	and uncorrebable (See Boy I)	
4. With regard to the title,  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	3. Unity of invention is lac	cking (see box ii).	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	4 With regard to the title.		
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures.		ubmitted by the applicant.	•
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	1 =		
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.			
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.			
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.			
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	5. With regard to the abstract,		
within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	1 —	- • •	
as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	the text has been established within one month from the	sned, according to Hule 38.2(b), by this Authori e date of mailing of this international search re	ity as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, port, submit comments to this Authority.
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be pub	lished with the abstract is Figure No.	3
	as suggested by the app	licant.	None of the figures.
because this figure better characterizes the invention.	because the applicant fai	iled to suggest a figure.	
	because this figure better	r characterizes the invention.	

International application No.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/IB 01/01198

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

Line 5 delete "The air-stirring blade presently" Lines 6-16 delete.

International Application No PCT/IB 01/01198

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 F02M29/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC  $\frac{7}{6}$  FO2M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Χ .	US 2001/001388 A1 (BUSWELL CRAIG L ET AL) 24 May 2001 (2001-05-24) page 3, line 1 -page 7, line 50; figures 1-7	1-5
X	DE 30 02 325 A (HEIM GERHARD) 30 July 1981 (1981-07-30) page 12, line 5 -page 16, line 6; figure 1	1-3,5
X	WO 94 02735 A (CHO BYOUNG MIN) 3 February 1994 (1994-02-03) page 2, line 15 -page 6, line 14; figures 1-8	1-3,5
X	US 4 151 816 A (SCHRODER JOACHIM)  1 May 1979 (1979-05-01)  page 2, line 49 -page 4, line 48; figures  1-5	1-4

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
Special categories of cited documents:  'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date  'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	<ul> <li>"T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention.</li> <li>"X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</li> <li>"&amp;* document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
28 February 2002	11/03/2002
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tet (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nt,  Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Marsano, F

1

International Application No PCT/IB 01/01198

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Determination of the second
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 3 395 899 A (KOPA RICHARD D) 6 August 1968 (1968-08-06) column 5, line 58 -column 11, line 74; figures 1,3	1-3
<b>X</b>	BE 347 113 A (G ROMEDENNE) 31 January 1928 (1928-01-31) page 1, line 1 -page 3, line 21; figures 1,2	1-4
4	WO 00 06889 A (WIJAYA HERU PRASANTA) 10 February 2000 (2000-02-10) page 2, line 6 -page 3, line 30; figure 1B	1-5
	• , • • •	·
	•	

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/IB 01/01198

	tent document in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US	2001001388	A1	24-05-2001	US	6170460 B1	09-01-2001
DE	3002325	Α	30-07-1981	DE	3002325 A1	30-07-1981
WO	9402735	Α	03-02-1994	KR AU EP WO	9501983 Y1 4587093 A 0604634 A1 9402735 A1	23-03-1995 14-02-1994 06-07-1994 03-02-1994
US	4151816	A	01-05-1979	DE BR CH DK FR GB IT JP JP JP	2637985 A1 7705623 A 622584 A5 371877 A ,B, 2362997 A1 1583580 A 1079830 B 1295649 C 53041626 A 60017922 B 7709053 A ,B,	02-03-1978 25-04-1978 15-04-1981 25-02-1978 24-03-1978 28-01-1981 13-05-1985 26-12-1985 15-04-1978 08-05-1985 28-02-1978
US	3395899	A	06-08-1968	NONE		
BE	347113	Α	·	NONE		
WO	0006889	Α	10-02-2000	AU AU EP WO	736708 B2 1777299 A 1017935 A1 0006889 A1	02-08-2001 21-02-2000 12-07-2000 10-02-2000